UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/550,079	09/19/2005	Shigeru Ogawa	52433/816	1575
26646 KENYON & K	7590 03/20/200 ENYON LLP	EXAMINER		
ONE BROADV	VAY	SUHOL, DMITRY		
NEW YORK, NY 10004			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3725	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/20/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/550,079	OGAWA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Dmitry Suhol	3725
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPOWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IT Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to divide apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	N. imely filed in the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 and 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pi	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 7 and 8 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest formula is/are allowed. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 7 and 8 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Bures * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applica ority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ogawa et al (JP 06-262207) in view of McCarthy '263. Ogawa discloses an apparatus and method containing most of the claimed elements including split backup rolls (3, 4) split into at least three segments in an axial direction (paragraph 0008 and 0009 of translation) and having a construction for supporting both a vertical load and a rolling direction load (see figures 1 and 3), each of the load measuring devices independently having a load measuring devices (16A, 16B, 17A and 17B), a coiling device (12) and work rolls (1, 2) supported by respective backup rolls (3,4 and 5). In paragraph 0019 Ogawa teaches the use of a control device to adjust the roll gap by controlling screw down devices for the backup rolls.

McCarthy is relied upon to teach that the methodology of calculating a difference between rolling direction forces acting on the backup rollers at their respective sides in order to bring the difference to a zero value (col. 1, lines 49-59) is well known in the art for controlling quality in the rolled strip. McCarthy further teaches use of a calculating device (50, 44, 52, 86) for calculating the force difference, a calculating device for

calculating a control quantity (36) and a control device for controlling the roll gap (34). Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time of applicants invention to have incorporated the control methodology and associated controllers of McCarthy with the stand/mill of Ogawa for the purpose of producing a quality product with little or no cambers since both references are concerned with the same problem and the combination would have yielded predictable results.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 7 and 8 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dmitry Suhol whose telephone number is 571-272-4430. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Friday 8:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Derris Banks can be reached on (571) 272-4419. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/550,079 Page 4

Art Unit: 3725

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Dmitry Suhol/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3725

ds